P3HDGroP 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 24 Cr. 00046 (AT) 4 v. 5 SANDEEP GROVER AND ABHIJEET SINGH, 6 Pleas Defendant. 7 8 New York, N.Y. 9 March 17, 2025 2:00 p.m. 10 11 Before: 12 HON. ANALISA TORRES, 13 U.S. District Judge 14 APPEARANCES 15 MATTHEW PODOLSKY Acting United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 JANE CHONG 17 Assistant United States Attorney 18 VINOO VARGHESE Attorney for Defendant Sandeep Grover 19 DENNIS LEMKE 20 Attorney for Defendant Abhijeet Singh 21 Also Present: 22 Kenneth Hosey, Special Agent (FBI) 23 Lydia Peevey, Legal Assistant (Defense) 24 25

THE COURT: Good afternoon. We're here in the matter 1 2 of the United States v. Sandeep Grover and Abhijeet Singh. 3 Would you make your appearances, please? MS. CHONG: Good afternoon, your Honor. AUSA Jane 4 5 Chong for the government, joined by FBI Special Agent Kenneth 6 Hosey. 7 MR. VARGHESE: Varghese & Associates, by Vinoo 8 Varghese for Mr. Grover, your Honor. At counsel table with me 9 is my legal assistant, Lydia Peevey. 10 MR. LEMKE: For Mr. Singh, Dennis Lemke, 170 Old 11 Country Road, Mineola, New York, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Please be seated. 13 Mr. Grover and Mr. Singh, I'm going to ask some 14 questions of you. When I call your names, then you'll have the 15 opportunity to answer. 16 I'm told that you both wish to change your pleas and 17 to enter pleas of guilty. 18 Is that right, Mr. Grover? 19 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 21 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Before deciding whether to accept your quilty pleas, I'm going to ask you some questions. It is very 23 24 important that you answer these questions honestly and 25 completely. The purpose of these proceedings is to make sure

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that you understand your rights, to decide whether you're pleading guilty of your own free will, and to make sure that you're pleading because you are quilty and for no other reason. Do you understand, Mr. Grover? DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Singh? DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: If you don't understand any of my questions, or if you want at any time to consult your lawyer, please say so, because it is important that you understand every question before you answer. Ms. Dimenstein, please swear the defendants. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please raise your right hand. of you. (Defendants sworn) THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that you're now under oath, and that if you answer any of my questions falsely, you may be prosecuted for perjury based on any false answers? Mr. Grover? DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, I do. THE COURT: Mr. Singh? DEFENDANT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Grover, how old are you? DEFENDANT GROVER: I'm 57.

1	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
2	DEFENDANT GROVER: I did my Bachelor's, Master's, and
3	I did my chartered accountancy in India.
4	THE COURT: What were your degrees in? What subject
5	matter?
6	DEFENDANT GROVER: It's in accounting.
7	THE COURT: Where did you study?
8	DEFENDANT GROVER: In India.
9	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized
10	for mental illness?
11	DEFENDANT GROVER: No.
12	THE COURT: Have you ever been addicted to drugs or
13	alcohol?
14	DEFENDANT GROVER: No.
15	THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been
16	under the care of any type of doctor or a psychiatrist?
17	DEFENDANT GROVER: Dr. Riegelhaupt, yes.
18	THE COURT: Are you being treated for a particular
19	illness?
20	DEFENDANT GROVER: Blood pressure, cholesterol, and
21	some urine problems.
22	THE COURT: Have you been given medication for those
23	issues?
24	DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Are you taking the medication?

1 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes. THE COURT: Does that medication in any way interfere 2 3 with your ability to understand what's happening in the courtroom? 4 5 DEFENDANT GROVER: No. THE COURT: So you've taken medicine within the last 6 7 24 hours? 8 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Have you drunk any alcoholic beverages in the last 24 hours? 10 11 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: How recently did you drink alcohol? 13 DEFENDANT GROVER: It was last night at 9:30 p.m. 14 THE COURT: Is that interfering in any way with your 15 ability to understand these proceedings? 16 DEFENDANT GROVER: No. 17 THE COURT: Is your mind clear today? 18 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: You understand what's happening in this 20 courtroom? 21 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Varghese, have you discussed this matter with your client? 23 24 MR. VARGHESE: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Is he capable of understanding the nature

of these proceedings? 1 2 MR. VARGHESE: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Does either Mr. Varghese or AUSA Chong have any doubt as to Mr. Grover's competence to plead guilty at 4 5 this time? MS. CHONG: No, your Honor. 6 7 MR. VARGHESE: No, your Honor. Your Honor, would you like us to stand when we address 8 9 the Court? 10 THE COURT: No. That's fine. 11 Mr. Singh? 12 DEFENDANT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: How old are you? 14 DEFENDANT SINGH: I'm 27. 15 THE COURT: How far did you go in school? 16 DEFENDANT SINGH: I graduated with a Bachelor's in 17 accounting from Queens College. 18 THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized 19 for mental illness? 20 DEFENDANT SINGH: No, I have not, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Have you ever been addicted to drugs or 22 alcohol? 23 DEFENDENT SINGH: No, I have not, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been 25 under the care of any type of doctor or psychiatrist?

DEFENDENT SINGH: No, your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Have you taken any drugs, medicine, or 2 3 pills, or drunk any alcoholic beverages in the last 24 hours? DEFENDENT SINGH: No, your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Is your mind clear today? DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: You understand what's happening in this 8 proceeding? 9 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Lemke, have you discussed this matter 11 with your client? 12 MR. LEMKE: I have, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: He understands the rights that he would be 14 waiving by pleading guilty? 15 MR. LEMKE: He does. 16 THE COURT: He is capable of understanding the nature 17 of these proceedings? 18 MR. LEMKE: He is, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Does Mr. Lemke or AUSA Chong have any 20 doubt to Mr. Singh's competence to plead at this time? 21 No, your Honor. MR. LEMKE: 22 MS. CHONG: No, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: On the basis of the defendants' responses 24 to my questions, my observation of their demeanor here in 25 court, and the representations of counsel, I find that

Mr. Grover and Mr. Singh are fully competent to enter informed 1 pleas of guilty at this time. 2 3 Mr. Grover, have you received a copy of the indictment 4 in this case? 5 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Have you read it? 6 7 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want me to read it to you now in 8 9 open court, or do you waive its public reading? 10 DEFENDANT GROVER: I waive the public reading, your 11 Honor. 12 THE COURT: Do you understand that you're charged with 13 major fraud against the United States in violation of 18, 14 United States Code, sections 1031 and Two; conspiracy to commit 15 wire fraud affecting a financial institution in violation of 18, United States Code, section 1349; and aggravated identity 16 17 theft in violation of 18, United States Code, sections 18 1028A(a)(1), 1028A(b), and Two? 19 MR. VARGHESE: Your Honor, if I may interject, I 20 didn't -- I'm sorry. Go ahead. 21 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Singh, have you received a copy of the indictment in this case? 23 24 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Did you read it?

1 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Do you want me to read it to you now in 3 open court or do you waive the public reading? DEFENDENT SINGH: I waive the public reading. 4 5 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are charged 6 with conspiracy to commit wire fraud affecting a financial 7 institution in violation of 18, United States Code, section 8 1349? 9 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Have you had enough time and opportunity 11 to discuss your cases with your attorneys? 12 Mr. Grover? 13 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 15 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Have you discussed with your attorneys the 17 charges and any possible defenses you may have to the charges? 18 Mr. Grover? 19 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 21 DEFENDANT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Have you discussed with your attorneys all of the facts about your involvement in this case? 23 24 Mr. Grover? 25 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 2 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Have your attorneys told you the consequences of pleading guilty? 4 5 Mr. Grover? 6 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 8 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your lawyers' 10 representation? 11 Mr. Grover? 12 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 14 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: I am now going to explain certain 16 constitutional rights that you have. These are rights you'll 17 be giving up if you enter guilty pleas. Please listen 18 carefully to what I'm about to say. If you don't understand something, stop me, and your attorney or I will explain it more 19 20 fully. 21 Under the Constitution or laws of the United States, 22 you have the right to plead not guilty to the charges in the 23 indictment. 24 Do you understand, Mr. Grover? 25 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 2 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: If you did plead not quilty, under the Constitution, you would be entitled to a speedy and public 4 5 trial by a jury. 6 Do you understand, Mr. Grover? 7 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 9 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: At trial, you would be presumed to be 11 innocent, and the government would be required to prove you 12 quilty beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found 13 guilty. That means you would not have to prove that you were 14 innocent, and you could not be convicted unless a jury of 12 15 people agreed unanimously that you were guilty beyond a 16 reasonable doubt. 17 Do you understand, Mr. Grover? 18 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 20 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: At trial and at every stage of your case, 22 you would be entitled to representation by your lawyer. If you could not afford a lawyer, one would be appointed at public 23 24 expense, free of charge. 25 Do you understand, Mr. Grover?

1 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 3 DEFENDANT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: At trial, the witnesses for the 4 5 prosecution would have to come to court and testify in your 6 presence, where you could see and hear them, and your lawyer 7 could cross-examine them, and if you wanted, your lawyer could 8 offer evidence on your behalf. You would be able to use the 9 Court's power to compel witnesses to come to court to testify 10 in your defense even if they did not want to come. 11 Do you understand, Mr. Grover? 12 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 14 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: At trial, you would have the right to 16 testify if you wanted to, but you would also have the right not 17 to testify, and if you chose not to testify, that could not be 18 used against you in any way. No inference or suggestion of 19 quilt could be made from the fact that you did not testify. 20 Do you understand, Mr. Grover? 21 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 23 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: If you were convicted at trial, you would 25 have the right to appeal that verdict to a higher court.

Do you understand, Mr. Grover? 1 2 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 4 DEFENDANT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: As I said before, you have a right to 6 plead not guilty. Even right now, even as you sit here for the 7 purpose of entering a guilty plea you have a right to change 8 your mind, persist in your not guilty pleas, and go to trial. But if you do plead quilty and I accept your plea, you will be 9 10 giving up your right to trial and the other rights that go with 11 it that I have just described. If you plead guilty, there will 12 be no trial. All that will remain to be done is to impose 13 sentence. I will enter a judgment of guilty and sentence you 14 on the basis of your guilty plea after considering whatever 15 submissions I get from you, your lawyers, the government, as 16 well as a presentence report prepared by the probation 17 department. 18 Do you understand, Mr. Grover? 19 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 21 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: If you plead quilty, do you understand 23 that you will also have to give up your right not to 24 incriminate yourselves, because I will ask you questions about 25 what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are indeed

guilty? 1 2 Do you understand, Mr. Grover? 3 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 5 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of 7 these rights, Mr. Grover? 8 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 10 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Are you willing to give up your right to a 11 trial and the other rights that I've just described? 12 13 Mr. Grover? 14 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 16 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: You've both said before that you have read 18 the indictment containing the charges against you. 19 Mr. Grover, I understand that you intend to plead 20 guilty to Counts One and Two; is that right? 21 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Singh, I understand that you intend to plead guilty to Count Two only; is that right? 23 24 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: AUSA Chong, would you state the elements

of the offenses in question?

MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor.

Count One charges the defendant, Sandeep Grover, with committing major fraud against the United States in violation of 18, U.S.C., 10:30. The elements are:

First, the defendant knowingly used or tried to use a scheme with the intent to defraud the United States or to get money or property by using materially false or fraudulent pretences, representations, or promises;

Second, the scheme took place as part of acquiring money through a grant, contract, subcontract, subsidy, loan, guarantee, insurance, or other form of federal assistance;

Third, the value of the grant, loan, or other form of federal assistance was \$1 million or more.

Count Two charges the defendants, Sandeep Grover and Abhijeet Singh, with participating in a conspiracy to commit wire fraud affecting a financial institution in violation of 18, U.S.C., 1349, which has the following elements:

First, two or more persons in some way or manner agreed to try to accomplish a common and unlawful plan to commit a fraud crime listed in Title 18, Chapter 63;

Second, the defendants knew the unlawful purpose of the plan and willfully joined in it.

For reference, the government further notes the elements of the underlying fraud crime, wire fraud affecting a

financial institution, 18, U.S.C., 1343. The elements are:

That the defendants devised a scheme to defraud or obtain money by materially false or fraudulent pretences, representations, or promises;

Second, the defendants acted within intent to defraud;

Third, in advancing the scheme, the defendants

transmitted at least one wire in interstate commerce;

And, fourth, the fraud scheme affected a financial institution.

THE COURT: Mr. Grover, you understand that if you were to go to trial, the government would have to prove all of those elements of Counts One and Two beyond a reasonable doubt?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Singh, you understand if you were to go to trial, the government would have to prove all of the elements of Count Two beyond a reasonable doubt?

DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now I'm going to tell you about the maximum penalties. The "maximum penalty" means the most that could possibly be imposed. It doesn't mean that is what you necessarily will receive. You have to understand that by pleading guilty, you are exposing yourself to the possibility of receiving any combination of punishments I'm about to describe.

Do you understand, Mr. Grover?

25

1 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 2 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Let's start with Count One, major fraud against the United States, which applies to Mr. Grover only. 4 5 With regard to your liberty, Mr. Grover, the maximum 6 term of imprisonment for this crime is ten years, which could 7 be followed by up to three years of supervised release. 8 Next is Count Two, conspiracy to commit wire fraud 9 affecting a financial institution, which applies to both 10 defendants. The maximum term of imprisonment for this crime is 11 30 years, which can be followed by up to five years of 12 supervised release. 13 Mr. Grover, that means that you consent to prison for 14 a total of 40 years. 15 Do you understand? 16 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Supervised release means if you were 18 sentenced to prison after you are released, you'll be subject 19 to supervision by the probation department. There will be 20 rules of supervised release that you have to follow, and if you 21 violate those rules, you can be returned to prison without a 22 jury trial to serve additional time beyond your original 23 sentence. 24 Do you understand, Mr. Grover?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Singh?

DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You should also understand that parole has been abolished in the federal system, and that if you are sentenced to prison, you will not be released early on parole, although there is limited opportunity to earn credit for good behavior.

Do you understand, Mr. Grover?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Singh?

DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: In addition to these restrictions on your liberty, the maximum punishment for Counts One and Two also include certain financial penalties. The maximum allowable fine for both counts is a million dollars, or twice the gain you received from the crime, or twice the loss to any victims, whichever is greater. I'm also required to impose a mandatory special assessment of a hundred dollars on each count of conviction.

In addition, I must order restitution to any persons or entities injured as a result of your criminal conduct.

Mr. Grover, I must order you to make restitution in the amount of \$3,748,458.80 to the victims of the offense as charged in Counts One and Two in accordance with 18, United States Code, section 3663A.

Mr. Singh, I must also order you to make restitution in the amount of \$3,748,458.80 to the victims of the events charged in Count Two in accordance with 18, United States Code, section 3663A.

The indictment also includes forfeiture allegations. Mr. Grover, the government asserts that you're required to forfeit to the United States, pursuant to 18, United States Code, section 982(a)(2)(A), any and all property constituting or derived from proceeds obtained directly or indirectly as a result of committing the crimes charged in Counts One and Two, including but not limited to a sum of money equal to \$11,881,151.50, and the following specific property:

One single-family residence at 2 Heathcote Court, Shirley, New York, 11967;

And one single-family residence at 382 Cheese Hill Road, Preston Hollow, New York 12469.

Mr. Singh, the government asserts that you are required to forfeit to the United States, pursuant to 18, United States Code, section 982(a)(2)(A), any and all property constituting or derived from proceeds that you obtained directly or indirectly as a result of committing the crime charged in Count Two, including but not limited to a sum of money equal to \$11,881.151.50 in U.S. currency.

Do you understand that these are the maximum penalties you face, Mr. Grover?

1 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 2 MR. VARGHESE: I'm sorry, your Honor. The properties 3 that you mentioned, they are not in this plea agreement. 4 5 MS. CHONG: Your Honor, I think I can provide some 6 clarification. The properties the Court listed are properties 7 listed in the indictment. However, the parties have agreed, pursuant to the plea agreement, the forfeiture to amount to be 8 9 the 11 million and change sum that the Court just read aloud, 10 and the parties will be submitting a consent preliminary order 11 of forfeiture after this proceeding that makes that clear. 12 THE COURT: So you're saying you're not proceeding 13 against the real property; is that correct? 14 MS. CHONG: That's correct, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So then you will not have to 16 forfeit those properties. 17 Mr. Singh, do you understand that these are the 18 maximum penalties that you face? 19 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Please understand also that I am just 21 telling you about the punishments that are part of the 22 sentence. Being convicted of a felony, even if by a plea of 23 quilty, may have other consequences. Mr. Grover, are you a U.S. citizen? 24 25 DEFENDANT GROVER: No, your Honor. I'm a green card

1 holder.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You understand that, as a result of your guilty plea, your removal from the United States may be mandatory, and that, at a minimum, you risk being removed or suffering other immigration consequences?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand, for example, that you could be denied citizenship in the future and denied admission to the United States in the future?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you discuss the possible immigration consequences of your plea with your lawyer?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you will be bound by your guilty plea regardless of these immigration consequences and regardless of any advice you have received from your counsel or others regarding any immigration consequences?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Singh, are you a U.S. citizen?

DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: How did you become a U.S. citizen?

DEFENDENT SINGH: I was born in the United States.

THE COURT: The reason why I ask whether you are a U.S. citizen is because if you were not a U.S. citizen, your

conviction may have consequences on your ability to remain in the United States. You will be bound by your guilty plea regardless of any immigration consequences and regardless of any advice you may have received from your counsel or others regarding immigration consequences.

Mr. Singh, because you are a U.S. citizen, you may lose certain valuable civil rights you may have now as a result of your guilty plea, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess a firearm.

Do you understand?

DEFENDENT SINGH: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: In imposing sentence, federal judges are required to consider recommendations of the federal sentencing guidelines. The guidelines are a complicated set of rules for determining an appropriate sentence. Judges must pay attention to the sentencing guidelines in determining a sentence, but, in the end, the Judge is required to give a sentence that she believes best satisfies the purposes of the criminal law, even if that is higher or lower than the guidelines recommendation.

Have you discussed the sentencing guidelines with your attorney, Mr. Grover?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Singh?

DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the guidelines are 1 2 only recommendations to the Court, Mr. Grover? 3 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 5 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: I understand that there are written plea 7 agreements you have signed. 8 Is that right, Mr. Grover? 9 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 11 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Grover, my law clerk will show you the 13 original letter plea agreement dated March 14, 2025, from 14 Assistant United States Attorney Jane Chong to your attorney, 15 Vinoo Varghese. Is that your signature on the last page of the 16 17 agreement? 18 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Did you read the agreement before you 20 signed it? 21 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your lawyer before 23 you signed it? 24 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Singh, my law clerk will now show you

the original letter plea agreement dated March 14, 2025, from 1 2 Assistant United States Attorney Jane Chong to your attorney, 3 Dennis Lemke. 4 Is that your signature on the last page of the 5 agreement? DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your lawyer before 10 you signed it? 11 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: I realize these are long legal documents 12 13 that contain some technical legal language, but after 14 discussing these documents with your attorneys, do you 15 understand the terms of your agreement, Mr. Grover? 16 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 18 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: It appears that you and the government 20 have agreed on the appropriate calculation in your sentence 21 under the guidelines. 22 Is that right, Mr. Grover? 23 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 25 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Grover, your agreement is that the appropriate guidelines sentencing range for you is 121 to 151 months' imprisonment, and a fine of 35 to \$350,000. Mr. Singh, your agreement is that the appropriate quidelines sentencing range for you is 41 to 51 months' imprisonment, and a fine of 15 to \$150,000. DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: That means that pursuant to your agreements, neither you nor the government are allowed to argue to me for a guidelines calculation other than the ones in your agreement, although you may seek sentences outside those ranges. Do you understand, Mr. Grover? DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Singh? DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: You also must understand these agreements do not behind me in any way. I'm required to make my own independent calculation under the sentencing guidelines, and then impose a sentence based on what I believe is an appropriate sentence for you both, even if those sentences are different than the ones in your agreement. Do you understand, Mr. Grover?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Singh?

1 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: I am not saying that I will come up with 2 3 any range different than what you agreed to with the government, but if I do, I will not let you withdraw your plea 4 5 even if the range that I determine is higher than the one you 6 agreed to with the government. 7 Do you understand, Mr. Grover? 8 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 10 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Grover, you understand under your 12 agreement you are giving up the right to appeal or otherwise 13 challenge your sentence so long as I sentence you to 151 months 14 or less of imprisonment; \$350,000 or less in fines; 15 \$3,748,458.80 or less in restitution; and \$11,881,151.50 or 16 less in forfeiture? 17 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: If I sentence you to more than a 151 19 months for any reason, you have the right to appeal that 20 sentence, but so long as I sentence you to 151 months or less, 21 you may not appeal this sentence under this agreement. 22 Do you understand, Mr. Grover? 23 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Singh, you understand under your

agreement you're giving up the right to appeal or otherwise

challenge your sentence so long as I sentence you to 51 months or less of imprisonment; impose a fine of \$150,000 or less; order restitution of \$3,748,458.80 or less; and order forfeiture of \$11,881,151.50 or less?

DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If I sentence you to more than 51 months for any reason, you have the right to appeal that sentence, but so long as I sentence you to 51 months' imprisonment or less, you may not appeal your sentence under this agreement.

Do you understand?

DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that under these agreements you are also giving up the right to withdraw your pleas or challenge your convictions on a few specific grounds which I am about to describe? First, under your agreements, you are giving up your right to withdraw your pleas or challenge your convictions on the grounds that the government has failed to produce the following categories of material as of the date of signing of the agreement:

Any material that it was required to produce during discovery;

Statements of any government witness relating to the subject matter about which the witness would be expected to testify;

Material that could be used to impeach or attack the

testimony of any government witness;

And material favorable to you that could cast doubt on your guilt or reduce your sentence, other than information establishing your factual innocence.

Do you understand, Mr. Grover?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Singh?

DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Second, under your agreements, you are also giving up the right to withdraw your guilty pleas and the right to challenge your convictions and sentences based upon any actual or perceived adverse immigration consequences, including deportation, resulting from your guilty plea and conviction.

So, for example, if you are deported following your guilty plea and conviction, or if the government later turns over material that would tend to cast doubt on your guilt, other than material that establishes your innocence, you will not be able to withdraw your pleas or challenge your convictions based on those grounds.

Do you understand, Mr. Grover?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Singh?

DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you willingly sign the plea agreement,

```
Mr. Grover?
1
 2
               DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Mr. Singh?
 4
               DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: Did anyone force you or bribe you to sign
 6
      your agreement?
7
               Mr. Grover?
8
               DEFENDANT GROVER: No, your Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: Mr. Singh?
10
               DEFENDENT SINGH: No, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Does your written plea agreement
12
      constitute your complete and total understanding of your
13
      agreement with the government?
14
               Mr. Grover?
15
               DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Mr. Singh?
17
               DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Do you understand if your attorney or
19
      anyone else has attempted to predict what your sentence will
20
     be, that their prediction could be wrong?
21
               Mr. Grover?
22
               DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Mr. Singh?
24
               DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: I'm asking you this because no one, not
```

your attorneys or the prosecutor, not even I, can be sure now what your sentences will be, because those sentences cannot be determined until I have received the presentence report and until I have decided what is the correct calculation of the range recommended by the sentencing guidelines, and whether there is any basis for not following those guidelines.

Even if your sentence is different from what you or your attorneys or anyone else has predicted, even if your sentence is different from what you expect or want, once you have pleaded guilty, you will not be able to withdraw your pleas.

Do you understand that, Mr. Grover?

DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Singh?

DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Other than what is contained in your plea agreement, has anyone made any promise or offered you any inducement to plead guilty?

Mr. Grover?

DEFENDANT GROVER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Singh?

DEFENDENT SINGH: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anyone threatened, bribed, or forced you to plead guilty?

Mr. Grover?

1 DEFENDANT GROVER: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 2 3 DEFENDANT SINGH: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Has anyone made a promise to you as to 4 5 what your sentence will be? Mr. Grover? 6 7 DEFENDANT GROVER: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 8 9 DEFENDENT SINGH: No, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Grover, now that you've been advised 11 of the charges against you, the possible penalties you face, and the rights you are giving up, is it still your intention to 12 13 plead guilty to Counts One and Two? 14 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: So, with respect to Counts One and Two of 16 the indictment, how do you plead? 17 DEFENDANT GROVER: Guilty, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Tell me in your own words what you did 19 that makes you believe that you are guilty of the charges. 20 DEFENDANT GROVER: I fraudulently prepared the tax 21 returns, payroll tax returns to get the PPP loans. 22 THE COURT: Would you repeat that? 23 DEFENDANT GROVER: I fraudulently prepared the payroll 24 returns to take the PPP loans. 25 THE COURT: So when did this happen?

DEFENDANT GROVER: 2020 and '21. 1 THE COURT: Did you obtain any money in connection 2 3 with what you did? DEFENANT GROVER: I'm sorry? 4 THE COURT: Did you get money as a result of what you 5 did? 6 7 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Did you use false or fraudulent pretences 9 in order to get that money? 10 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: These were loans? 12 DEFENDANT GROVER: Some of them loans, some of them 13 were the grants. 14 THE COURT: They were guaranteed by the government as 15 part of the Paycheck Protection Program? 16 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Did you also submit fraudulent documents 18 to lending institutions? 19 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: This was for Paycheck Protection Program 21 loans? 22 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: You were making these submissions for 24 various businesses; is that correct? 25 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: You knew this was in violation of federal 2 law? 3 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Where did this occur? 4 5 DEFENDANT GROVER: My office, your Honor. THE COURT: Where was that? 6 7 DEFENDANT GROVER: Beaufort, New York. 8 THE COURT: When you did these things, you knew what 9 you were doing was wrong and illegal? 10 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Singh. 12 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Now that you've been advised of the 14 charges against you and the possible penalties you face and the 15 rights that you're giving up, is it still your intention to 16 plead quilty to Count Two? 17 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: With respect to Count Two, how do you 19 plead? 20 DEFENDENT SINGH: I plead quilty, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Tell me what you did in your own words 22 that makes you believe that you're guilty of the charge. 23 DEFENDENT SINGH: Your Honor, between early 2020 and 24 continuing up to and about June 2021, fraudulent documents were 25 prepared and submitted to financial institutions for Payroll

Protection Program loans, or PPP, by my co-conspirators, 1 Sandeep Grover and Shikha Sehgal. 2 I became aware of this fraudulent criminal activity in 3 I assisted in completing false information on loan 4 5 documents that were provided to Shikha Sehgal, who I know was submitting the documents to lending institutions for these 6 7 loans, which were relied upon by lenders. 8 This criminal activity took place in the Southern 9 District of New York. I knew it was criminal. 10 THE COURT: You knew what you were doing was wrong, 11 correct? 12 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: AUSA Chong, are there any additional 14 questions that you would like me to put to either defendant? 15 MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor. Could the Court please ask Sandeep Grover whether he 16 17 agreed with at least one other person to submit fraudulent PPP 18 loan applications? THE COURT: Did you agree with any other person to 19 20 submit fraudulent PPP applications? 21 DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: How did you make the agreement? 22 23 DEFENDANT GROVER: I agreed with Shikha and Abhijeet 24 to do those loans, and we agreed to file those applications.

THE COURT: Sir, my question was how did you make the

agreement. Did you send letters by U.S. Mail to each other? 1 Did you talk to each other person to person? Did you have 2 3 talks on the phone, text messages? How did you make the 4 agreement? 5 DEFENDANT GROVER: Talk on the phone, and text, also. THE COURT: Anything further? 6 7 MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor. 8 Would the Court please ask defendant Abhijeet Singh if 9 he sent any emails or texts relating to the scheme to his 10 co-conspirators? THE COURT: Did you send, Mr. Singh, any emails 11 12 related to this scheme to your co-conspirators? 13 DEFENDANT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: How did you make your agreements? 15 DEFENDENT SINGH: Verbally, in person. 16 THE COURT: In person? 17 DEFENDENT SINGH: In person, your Honor. My 18 apologies. 19 THE COURT: Anything further, AUSA Chong? 20 MS. CHONG: No, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Would you summarize what the government's 22 evidence would be if the defendants were to go to trial? 23 MS. CHONG: Your Honor, if the parties were to proceed 24 to trial, the government's evidence would include the 25 following:

Dozens of Paycheck Protection Program, or PPP, loan applications submitted on behalf of companies purportedly owned by the defendant Sandeep Grover or one of his clients containing false employee and payroll information and accompanied by fraudulent tax forms prepared by Mr. Grover's company, Excellent Business Services, as well as fabricated bank statements. A portion of the loan applications contain defendant Abhijeet Singh's contact information, such as his residential address, or his cell phone number; and a portion of the applications contained defendant Mr. Grover's contact information and signature, and were submitted under Mr. Grover's name.

Testimony from the New York Department of Labor and the Social Security Administration attesting that most of the companies for which loan applications were submitted reported no employees or payroll information to the New York Department of Labor or the Social Security Administration during the relevant time period.

Hundreds of bank statements showing loan disbursements totaling more than \$11.8 million made by the Small Business Administration to the companies for which the defendants obtained PPP loans. These bank statements showed that these funds were often immediately transferred into other bank accounts controlled by Mr. Grover and used for impermissible purposes, such as buying properties.

Other evidence includes copies of checks used to move loan money between Mr. Grover's company accounts and his client's accounts bearing memo lines designed to obscure the nature and source of the payments.

Evidence also includes text messages and emails that show Mr. Grover's Excellent Business Services email was used to instruct a co-conspirator on which companies to create false documents for.

The government would also expect to present testimony from witnesses on whose companies' behalf the defendant submitted fraudulent PPP loan documents; testimony from a Google custodian regarding the location of email servers outside of New York; and testimony from Citibank representatives regarding the movement of PPP funds from Citibank, Citibank sub-ledger, to disbursement bank accounts.

The government can also proffer as to venue that at least one co-conspirator prepared fraudulent documentation from Putnam County, which is located in the Southern District of New York.

THE COURT: That applies to both of the defendants?

MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor. It's as to the same conspiracy charged against both defendants.

THE COURT: Do all of the attorneys agree that there is a sufficient factual predicate for a guilty plea?

AUSA Chong?

1 MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Varghese? 3 MR. VARGHESE: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Lemke? 4 5 MR. LEMKE: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Varghese, do you know of any valid 7 defense that would prevail at trial? 8 MR. VARGHESE: No, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Lemke? 10 MR. LEMKE: No, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason that I should 12 not accept both defendants' pleas of guilty? 13 AUSA Chong? 14 MS. CHONG: No, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Varghese? 16 MR. VARGHESE: No, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Lemke? 18 MR. LEMKE: No, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Grover and Mr. Singh, because you both 20 acknowledged that you are, in fact, quilty as charged in the 21 indictment, because I'm satisfied that you both know of your 22 rights, including your right to go to trial, and that you're both aware of the consequences of your pleas, including the 23 24 sentences that may be imposed, and because I find that you are 25 both knowingly and voluntarily pleading guilty, I accept your

1 guilty pleas.

Mr. Grover, I enter a judgment of guilty on Counts One and Two of the indictment.

Mr. Singh, I enter a judgment of guilty on Count Two of the indictment.

The Probation Department will want to interview you both in connection with the presentence report that it will prepare for each of you.

Does defense counsel wish to be present for any interview in connection with the report?

Mr. Varghese?

MR. VARGHESE: I'll discuss that. I may be present, but I would discuss that, or another attorney working for me, your Honor.

THE COURT: So some attorney will be present; is that correct?

MR. VARGHESE: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Lemke?

MR. LEMKE: Yes. Absolutely.

THE COURT: In that case, I will order that there be no interview unless counsel is present.

Mr. Grover or Mr. Singh, if you choose to speak to the Probation Department, make sure anything you say is truthful and accurate. I will read the reports carefully. They are important to me to decide what sentences to impose.

25

Mr. Varghese?

MR. VARGHESE: No, your Honor.

You and your counsel have a right to examine your 1 2 report and to comment on it at the time of sentencing. I urge 3 you to read your report and discuss it with your attorney. If there are any mistakes in it, point them out to your attorney 4 5 so that your attorney can bring them to my attention. 6 Sentencing for both defendants is set for July 7 at 7 2:00 p.m. 8 The government shall provide the probation officer 9 with its factual statement within seven days. 10 Defense counsel must arrange for the defendants to be 11 interviewed by the Probation Department within the next two 12 weeks. Defense submissions due by June 23. The government 13 submissions are due by June 30. 14 15 Is there any objection to continuing the present bail 16 conditions for Mr. Grover? 17 MS. CHONG: No, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: For Mr. Singh? 19 MS. CHONG: No, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Do the lawyers have any reason to believe 21 that Mr. Grover or Mr. Singh is likely to flee or poses a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if 22 23 released?

25

THE COURT: Mr. Lemke? 1 2 MR. LEMKE: No, your Honor. THE COURT: I find by clear and convincing evidence 3 defendants are not likely to flee or pose danger to the safety 4 5 of any other person or the community if released. 6 Mr. Grover and Mr. Singh, all of the conditions on 7 which you were released up to now continue to apply, and a 8 violation of any of those conditions can have serious 9 consequences, including revocation of bail, and prosecution for bail jumping. 10 11 Do you understand, Mr. Grover? DEFENDANT GROVER: Yes, your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: Mr. Singh? 14 DEFENDENT SINGH: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Are there any further applications from 16 either side? 17 MS. CHONG: None from the government, your Honor. 18 MR. VARGHESE: No, your Honor. 19 MR. LEMKE: Not on behalf of Mr. Singh, your Honor, 20 no. Thank you. 21 THE COURT: The matter is adjourned. 22 (Adjourned) 23 24